

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/813,853	ATIEN, AHMAD	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	James A. Menefee	2828	

All Participants:

(1) James A. Menefee.

(2) Neil Teitelbaum.
Status of Application: Non-final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2003
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner noted that when a terminal disclaimer is filed, the applicant should also file a statement responding to the rejection (i.e. the terminal disclaimer obviates the previous double patenting rejection) so that the response satisfies 37 CFR 1.111(b)

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



PAUL IP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)